AUDIT REPORT

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2010

UNIFIED SAN DIEGO COUNTY EMERGENCY SERVICES ORGANIZATION AUDIT REPORT

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2010

Table of Contents

FINANCIAL SECTION

	<u>Page</u>
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Basic Financial Statements:	
Statement of Net Assets	3
Statement of Activities	4
Governmental Funds Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheet	5
Reconciliation of the Governmental Funds Balance Sheet to the	
Statement of Net Assets	6
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance	7
Reconciliation of the Governmental Funds Statement of Revenues,	
Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances to the Statement of Activities	8
Combining Statement of Fiduciary Net Assets – Agency Funds	9
Notes to Basic Financial Statements	10
REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	
Budgetary Comparison Schedule – General Fund	21
Budgetary Comparison Schedule HAZMAT Response Program Special Revenue Fund	22
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SECTION	
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	23
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	

UNIFIED SAN DIEGO COUNTY EMERGENCY SERVICES ORGANIZATION AUDIT REPORT

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2010 Table of Contents

OTHER INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORTS

Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	25
Report on Compliance with Requirements That Could Have a Direct and Material Effect on	20
Each Major Federal Program and Internal Control over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133	27
FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS SECTION	
Schedule of Audit Findings and Questioned Costs	29
Summary of Prior Year Audit Findings and Questioned Costs	

Unified Disaster Council Unified San Diego County Emergency Services Organization San Diego, California

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

We have audited the accompanying statement of financial position of Unified San Diego County Emergency Services Organization (the "ESO"), as of June 30, 2010, the related statement of activities, and each major fund for the year then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the ESO's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. The financial statements of ESO, as of June 30, 2009, were audited by other auditors whose report dated February 5, 2010, expressed an unqualified opinion on those statements.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the ESO as of June 30, 2010, and the changes in its net assets and its cash flows for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.


In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated April 1, 2011, on our consideration of the ESO's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the ESO's basic financial statements. The other supplementary information listed in the table of contents, such as the Combining Statement of Fiduciary Net Assets and Schedule of Expenditure of Federal Awards, are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements. The Combining Statement of Fiduciary Net Assets and Schedule of Expenditure of Federal Awards have been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, are fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

San Diego, California

Christ Wite Olecanstarry Corporation

April 1, 2011



Statement of Net Assets

June 30, 2010 and 2009

	Governmental Activities				
		2010		2009	
ASSETS					
Equity in pooled cash and investments	\$	909,495	\$	275,071	
Accounts receivable		95,993		4,782	
Interest receivable		2,242		2,122	
Capital assets - net of accumulated depreciation		233,503		305,275	
Total Assets		1,241,233		587,250	
LIABILITIES					
Accounts payable		845,080		209,712	
Due to County of San Diego		90,000		-	
Total Liabilities		935,080		209,712	
NET ASSETS					
Invested in capital assets, net of related debt		233,503		305,275	
Unrestricted		72,650		72,263	
Total Net Assets	\$	306,153	\$	377,538	

The notes to financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

Statement of Activities

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009

			Progra	m Revenues	Revenue and Changes in Net Assets			
Functions/Programs	1	Expenses	G	Operating Grants and Contributions		otal 2010 ernmental ctivities	Gov	otal 2009 vernmental ctivities
Primary government:								
Public Safety	\$	7,292,698	\$	7,277,747	\$	(14,951)	\$	(289,012)
Depreciation		71,772				(71,772)		-
Total governmental activities	\$	7,364,470	\$	7,277,747		(86,723)		(289,012)
(Gener	al revenues:						
	Inve	estment incon	ne			9,646		21,789
	Oth	er				5,692		3,688
	Tota	al General Re	venues			15,338		25,477
Change in Net Assets						(71,385)		(263,535)
1	Net assets - July 1, 2009					377,538		641,073
1	Net as	sets - June 30,	2010		\$	306,153	\$	377,538

Net (Expense)

Balance Sheet

June 30, 2010 and 2009

				Iazmat		Total Govern	menta	l Funds
		eneral		esponse		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • •
ACCETC		Fund	Prog	gram Fund		2010		2009
ASSETS Equity in pooled cash and investments	\$	37,095	\$	872,400	\$	909,495	\$	275,071
Accounts receivable	Ф	37,093	Ф	95,993	Ф	95,993	Ф	4,782
Interest receivable		- 76		2,166		2,242		2,122
interest receivable	-	70		2,100		2,242		2,122
Total Assets	\$	37,171	\$	970,559	\$	1,007,730	\$	281,975
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES								
LIABILITIES								
Accounts payable	\$	-	\$	845,080	\$	845,080	\$	209,712
Due to County of San Diego		-		90,000		90,000		_
Total Liabilities		-		935,080		935,080		209,712
FUND BALANCES								
Unreserved:								
Undesignated		37,171		35,479		72,650		72,263
Total Fund Balances		37,171		35,479		72,650		72,263
Total Liabilities and Fund Balances	\$	37,171	\$	970,559	\$	1,007,730	\$	281,975

The notes to financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

UNIFIED SAN DIEGO COUNTY EMERGENCY SERVICES ORGANIZATION Reconciliation of the Governmental Fund Balance Sheet to the Statement of Net Assets June 30, 2010 and 2009

	 2010	 2009
Total fund balances - governmental funds	\$ 72,650	\$ 72,263
Amounts reported for governmental <i>activities</i> in the statement of net assets are different because capital assets used for governmental activities are not financial resources and therefore are not reported as assets in governmental funds. The cost of the capital assets is \$580,017 and the accumulated depreciation is (\$346,514).	233,503	305,275
Total net assets - governmental activities	\$ 306,153	\$ 377,538

UNIFIED SAN DIEGO COUNTY EMERGENCY SERVICES ORGANIZATION Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009

			Hazmat		Total Govern	ment	al Funds
			R	esponse			
	Ger	neral Fund	Prog	gram Fund	 2010		2009
REVENUES							
Governmental grants	\$	4,062,557	\$	-	\$ 4,062,557	\$	4,728,600
Civil defense City		321,061		1,494,467	1,815,528		1,781,995
Interest		387		9,259	9,646		21,789
Contributions from County of San Diego		1,399,662		-	1,399,662		4,506,537
Other		5,692		-	5,692		3,688
Total revenues		5,789,359		1,503,726	7,293,085		11,042,609
EXPENDITURES							
Current:							
Contributions to other agencies		2,251,867		-	2,251,867		2,432,699
Salaries and benefits		2,013,888		-	2,013,888		2,147,375
Services and supplies		1,523,217		1,503,726	3,026,943		6,588,755
Capital outlay		-		-	-		77,153
Total expenditures		5,788,972		1,503,726	7,292,698		11,245,982
Excess (deficiency) of revenues							
over (under) expenditures		387		-	387		(203,373)
Net change in fund balances		387		-	387		(203,373)
Fund balances, July 1, 2009		36,784		35,479	 72,263		275,636
Fund balances, June 30, 2010	\$	37,171	\$	35,479	\$ 72,650	\$	72,263

Change in net assets of governmental activities

Reconciliation of the Governmental Funds Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances to the Statement of Activities For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009

	 2010	2009
Total net change in fund balances - governmental funds	\$ 387	\$ (203,373)
Amounts reported for governmental <i>activities</i> in the statement of activities are different because:		
Capital outlays are reported in governmental funds as expenditures. However, in the statement of activities, the cost of those assets is allocated over their estimated useful lives as depreciation expense. The difference between capital outlay expenditures and depreciation expense for the		
period is:	 (71,772)	(60,162)

\$ (71,385) \$ (263,535)

UNIFIED SAN DIEGO COUNTY EMERGENCY SERVICES ORGANIZATION Combining Statement of Fiduciary Net Assets – Agency Funds For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2010

2010			Saı	n Diego	Sa	n Diego		
			C	County	(County		Total
		Civil	A	Arson		Fire	P	Agency
	Ι	Defense	R	eward	Pr	otection	I	unds
ASSETS								
Equity in pooled cash and investments	\$	14,412	\$	5,047	\$	11,873	\$	31,332
Interest receivable		-		10		24		34
Total Assets	\$	14,412	\$	5,057	\$	11,897	\$	31,366
LIABILITIES								
Due to other goverments	\$	14,412	\$	5,057	\$	11,897	\$	31,366
Total Liabilities	\$	14,412	\$	5,057	\$	11,897	\$	31,366
2009				n Diego		n Diego		
				County	(County		Total
		Civil		Arson	Fire		Agency	
	I	Defense	R	eward	Pr	otection	I	Funds
ASSETS								
Equity in pooled cash and investments	\$	14,412	\$	4,986	\$	11,731	\$	31,129
Interest receivable		-		18		43		61
Total Assets	\$	14,412	\$	5,004	\$	11,774	\$	31,190
LIABILITIES								
Due to other goverments	\$	14,412	\$	5,004	\$	11,774	\$	31,190
Total Liabilities	\$	14,412	\$	5,004	\$	11,774	\$	31,190

Notes to Basic Financial Statements

June 30, 2010 and 2009

NOTE 1 – SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

A. Reporting Entity

The Unified San Diego County Emergency Services Organization (the "ESO"), located in San Diego County, became effective with the fourth amendment (1991) to the joint powers agreement entered into pursuant to the County of San Diego, California Ordinance No. 2248 (Effective 8/29/61) and various City resolutions. Current parties to the agreement include the County of San Diego (County) and the eighteenth incorporated cities within the County. The ESO is organized under the laws of the State of California for the preservation and safety of life and property. It provides for the execution of plans and programs in the event of an emergency of disaster, and provides for mutual assistance in the event of such emergencies or disasters.

Direction is provided to the member Jurisdictions by the Unified Disaster Council, the policy making body for the ESO. The Unified Disaster Council is comprised of the following individuals:

Ex-Official Chairperson: Chairperson of the County Board of Supervisors,

Vice-Chairperson: The Coordinator of the ESO selected by the Council form among the Chief Administrative Officer of the County or the City Manager and/or Chief Administrator of any participating City,

Members: A representative with alternate from each member agency.

Under the terms of the agreement, each participating member jurisdiction has one vote on the Council.

Under the agreement, fifty percent (50%) of the ESO's operating budget comes from the County after giving effect to other revenue. The remaining amount comes from the participating cities prorated under a formula whereby 50% is apportioned to the member cities based on population, and 50% is based on property values assessed in the fiscal year prior to the budgeted year. The agreement also provides member entities with the ability to contribution more than its required share and allows them to specify how the additional contribution is to be used.

The ESO administers the Hazardous Incident Response Team (HIRT) Program. The HIRT Program consists of the Hazardous Materials Unit of the City of San Diego Fire Department and the Hazardous Materials Management Division of the County of San Diego Department of Environmental Health. The unfunded costs of the HIRT Program are distributed to all member jurisdictions based upon a formula which calls for 40% based upon property valuation, and the remaining 20% based on HIRT responses to jurisdictions in the previous year.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements

June 30, 2010 and 2009

NOTE 1 – SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

A. Reporting Entity (continued)

County employees, through the Office of Emergency Services, perform daily operating activities for the benefit of the ESO. The Chief Administrative Officer of the County, as the designated Coordinator of the Unified Disaster Council, has the authority to incur expenditures, and receive and disburse the funds of the ESO. Pursuant to that authority, the ESO's transactions are recorded in the County's accounting system. The financial transactions are also included in the County's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR).

B. Basis of Presentation

According to the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB), the recognized authoritative source of promulgated accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for state and local governments, the budget, the accounts, and the financial reports are inseparable elements in the financial administration process.

Terminology and classification consistency amount the elements are essential to achieving viable accounting systems and comparable, and unambiguous financial reporting. The maximum extent practicable, the terminology should be used consistently in all phases of budgeting, accounting, and reporting. With regards to the governmental and fiduciary fund types, these financial statements depart from those criteria in the interest of clarity and to render the statements not misleading for the following reason:

As previously noted, the ESO's financial transactions are included in the County of San Diego's accounting system. Amounts are recorded in the governmental and fiduciary fund types using the modified accrual basis of accounting. Two trust funds, three agency funds, and one organization unit identified in the County's accounting records are used to record all transactions of the ESO.

They are identified as the ESO Operating Fund – No. 49214, the HIRT Fund – No. 49211, the Civil Defense Fund – No. 61006, San Diego County Arson Reward Fund – No. 66036, San Diego County Fire Protection Fund – No 61007, and the Office of Emergency Service – General Fund Organization Unit No. A3120.

The first two trust funds listed above are presented in the County's CAFR as interest bearing trust funds to inform the reader of the relationship between the County and the ESO operations. The specific objects of expenditures incurred for the benefit of the ESO are recorded in the County's General Fund. The County's budgeting and accounting procedures are consistent with presentation in its CAFR.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements

June 30, 2010 and 2009

NOTE 1 – SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

C. Basis of Accounting

The ESO's policy is to prepare its financial statements on the accrual basis of accounting; consequently, revenues are recognized when earned rather than when cash is received and certain expenses and purchases of assets are recognized when the obligation is incurred rather than when cash is disbursed.

The accounts of the ESO are organized on the basis of funds, each of which is considered a separate accounting entity. The operations of each fund are accounted for with a separate set of self-balancing accounts that comprise its assets, liabilities, fund equity, revenues, and expenditures or expenses, as appropriate. Governmental resources are allocated to and accounted for in individual funds based upon the purposes for which they are to be spent and the means by which spending activities are controlled.

Government-wide Financial Statements

The ESO's government-wide financial statements include a Statement of Net Assets and a Statement of Activities. These statements present summaries of governmental activities for the ESO. Fiduciary activities of the ESO are not included in these statements.

These basic financial statements are presented on an "economic resources" measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Accordingly, all of the ESO's assets and liabilities, including capital assets, are included in the accompanying Statement of Net Assets. The Statement of Activities presents changes in net assets. Under the accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recognized in the period in which they are earned while expenses are recognized in the period in which the liability is incurred.

Certain types of transactions are reported as program revenues for the ESO in two categories:

- Operating grants and contributions
- Capital grants and contributions

Governmental Fund Financial Statements

Governmental fund financial statements include a Balance Sheet and a Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances for all major governmental funds and non-major funds aggregated. An accompanying schedule is presented to reconcile and explain the differences in fund balances as presented in these statements to the net assets presented in the government-wide financial statements. The ESO has presented all major funds that met the applicable criteria.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements

June 30, 2010 and 2009

NOTE 1 – SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

C. Basis of Accounting (continued)

Governmental Fund Financial Statements (continued)

All governmental funds are accounted for on spending or "current financial resource" measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Accordingly, only current assets and current liabilities are included on the Balance Sheet. The Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances presents increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets. Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recognized in the accounting period in which they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of current period.

Revenues are recorded when received in cash, except for that revenues subject to accrual (generally 60 days after year-end) are recognized when due. Expenditures are recorded in the accounting period in which the related fund liability is incurred.

The Reconciliation of the Fund Financial Statements to the Government-wide Financial Statements is provided to explain the differences created by the integrated approach of GASB Statement No. 34.

Fiduciary Fund Financial Statements

Fiduciary fund financial statements include a Statement of Net Assets. The ESO fiduciary funds represent agency funds, which are custodial in nature (assets equal liabilities) and do not involve measurement of results of operations. Fiduciary fund types are accounted for according to the nature of the fund.

D. Major Funds

GASB Statement No. 34 requires the ESO's major governmental type funds to be identified and presented separately in the fund financial statements. Major funds are defined as funds that have assets, liabilities, revenues, or expenditures equal to or more than ten percent of their fund-type total and five percent of the grand total.

The ESO reported the following major governmental funds in the accompanying financial statements:

Notes to Basic Financial Statements

June 30, 2010 and 2009

NOTE 1 – SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

D. Major Funds (continued)

General Fund – The General Fund is presented herein in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America appropriate for a legally separate, economically independent, local governmental entity. The presentation is particularly beneficial in portraying the ESO's relationship to its members by presenting the ESO's assets and the members' aggregate equity interest in those assets as provided by the joint powers agreement. The amounts presented in the ESO's General Fund are directly reconcilable to the accounts maintained by the County.

Specifically, the expendable available financial resources and related current liabilities devoted to financing general services that the ESO performs for its members' are included in the General Fund budget allocations of the individual members, grants, cost reimbursements, and other revenue used to finance the operations of the ESO are specific resources included in this fund. The fund is also charged with the costs of operating the ESO.

Hazmat Response Program Special Revenue Fund – The Special Revenue Fund is used to account for revenues restricted to and expenditures incurred for the HIRT Program administered by the ESO. The amounts presented in the ESO's Special Revenue Fund are directly reconcilable to the accounts maintained by the County.

E. Capital Assets

Capital assets are reported in the governmental activities in the Government-wide Financial Statements. All purchased capital assets are recorded at cost where historical records are available and at an estimated historical cost where no historical records exist. Donated (contributed) capital assets are valued at their estimated fair value on the date received.

The ESO policy has set the capitalization threshold for reporting capital assets at the following:

Equipment and vehicles \$5,000

For all capital assets, depreciation is recorded on a straight-line basis over the useful lives of the assets as follows:

Equipment and vehicles 5-20 years

Notes to Basic Financial Statements

June 30, 2010 and 2009

NOTE 1 – SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

F. Net Assets

In the government-wide financial statements, net assets are classified in the following categories:

Invested in Capital Assets, Net of Related Debt – This amount consists of capital net of accumulated depreciation and reduced by outstanding debt that attributed to the acquisition, construction, or improvement of the assets.

Restricted Net Assets – This amount is restricted by external creditors, grantors, contributors, laws, or regulations of other governments.

Unrestricted Net Assets – This amount is all net assets that do not meet the definition of "invested in capital assets, net of related debt" or "restricted net assets".

G. Unreserved Fund Balance

In conformity with GASB confiscations, fund balance is presented as the arithmetical difference between fund assets and fund liabilities. GASB further provides that when a portion of the fund balance is reserved, the unreserved amount should be reported as the Unreserved Fund Balance. The general fund and Hazmat fund unreserved balance represents the ESO assets that are available for subsequent appropriations or other disposition pursuant to the joint powers agreement.

H. Budgetary Accounting

Budget Policies – An operating budget is adopted each fiscal year for the ESO by unanimous consent of its members. Budgetary control is achieved at the appropriate level.

Reserve for Encumbrances – For purposes of a budgetary presentation in the financial statements, actual expenditures are adjusted to include any encumbrances outstanding at fiscal year-end. Outstanding encumbrances at fiscal year-end are fully reserved thereby assuring a continued expenditure authorization in subsequent fiscal year. There was no encumbrance reserve at the end of the fiscal year.

I. <u>Estimates</u>

The preparation of the financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amount of certain assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of basic financial statements, and the related reported amounts of revenues and expenditures/expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Management believes that the estimates are reasonable.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements

June 30, 2010 and 2009

NOTE 1 – SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

J. New GASB Pronouncements

GASB Statement No. 53 – Accounting and Financial Reporting for Derivative Instruments. This Statement establishes accounting and financial reporting requirements for derivative instruments entered into by state and local governments. The requirements of this new Statement are effective for financial statements for periods beginning after June 15, 2009.

GASB Statement No. 54 – Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions was issued in February 2009. This statement establishes fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds. The requirements of this new Statement are effective for financial statements for periods beginning after June 15, 2010.

GASB Statement No. 58 – Accounting and Financial Reporting for Chapter 9 Bankruptcies. This Statement establishes accounting and financial reporting guidance for governments that have petitioned for protection from creditors by filing for bankruptcy under the Chapter 9 of the United States Bankruptcy Code. The requirements of this Statement are effective for financial statements for period beginning after June 15, 2009.

NOTE 2 – CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

Cash and cash equivalents as of June 30, 2010, consist of the following:

	2010			2009
Cash and investments with County Treasury	\$	940,827	\$	306,200
Total cash and investments	\$	940,827	\$	306,200

Cash and cash equivalents are presented in the accompanying basic financial statements, as follows:

	2010	2009
Equity in pooled cash and equivalents, statement of net assets	\$ 909,495	\$ 275,071
Equity in pooled cash and investments, statement of fiduciary net assets	31,332	31,129
Total cash and investments	\$ 940,827	\$ 306,200

Notes to Basic Financial Statements

June 30, 2010 and 2009

NOTE 2 – CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS (continued)

Cash in County Treasury – The ESO is a voluntary participant in an external investment pool. The fair value of the ESO's investment in the pool is reported in the financial statements at amounts based upon the ESO's pro-rata share of the fair value provided by the County Treasurer for the entire portfolio in relation to the amortized cost of that portfolio. The balance available for withdrawal is recorded on the amortized cost basis and is based on the accounting records maintained by the County Treasurer.

General Authorizations

Except for investments by trustees of debt proceeds, the authority to invest ESO funds deposited with the county treasury is delegated to the County Treasurer and Tax Collector. Additional information about the investment policy of the County Treasurer and Tax Collector may be obtained from its website. The table below identifies examples of the investment types permitted in the investment policy:

	Maximum	Maximum	Maximum
Authorized	Remaining	Percentage	Investment
Investment Type	Maturity	of Portfolio	in One Issuer
Local Agency Bonds, Notes, Warrants	5 years	None	None
Registered State Bonds, Notes, Warrants	5 years	None	None
U.S. Treasury Obligations	5 years	None	None
U.S. Agency Securities	5 years	None	None
Banker's Acceptance	180 days	40%	30%
Commercial Paper	270 days	25%	10%
Negotiable Certificates of Deposit	5 years	30%	None
Repurchase Agreements	1 year	None	None
Reverse Repurchase Agreements	92 days	20% of base	None
Medium-Term Corporate Notes	5 years	30%	None
Mutual Funds	N/A	20%	10%
Money Market Mutual Funds	N/A	20%	10%
Mortgage Pass-Through Securities	5 years	20%	None
County Pooled Investment Funds	N/A	None	None
Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)	N/A	None	None
Joint Powers Authority Pools	N/A	None	None

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity of its fair value to changes in market interest rates. One of the ways the ESO manages its exposure to interest rate risk is by purchasing a combination of short term and longer term investments and by timing cash flows from maturities so that a portion of the portfolio is maturing or coming close to maturity evenly over time as necessary to provide the cash flow and liquidity needed for operations.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements

June 30, 2010 and 2009

NOTE 2 - CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS (continued)

Interest Rate Risk (continued)

Information about the sensitivity of the fair values of the ESO's investments to market interest rate fluctuations is provided by the following table that shows the distribution of the ESO's investments by maturity:

2010		Remaining Maturity (in Months)				
	Carrying	12 Months	13 to 24	25-60	More Than 60	
Investment Type	Amount	or Less	Months	Months	Months	
San Diego County Investment Pool	\$ 940,827	\$ 940,827	\$ -	<u>\$ -</u>	\$ -	
Total	\$ 940,827	\$ 940,827	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
2009			Remaining Mate	arity (in Months)		
	Carrying	12 Months	13 to 24	25-60	More Than 60	
Investment Type	Amount	or Less	Months	Months	Months	
San Diego County Investment Pool	\$ 306,200	\$ 306,200	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
Total	\$ 306,200	\$ 306,200	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	

Notes to Basic Financial Statements

June 30, 2010 and 2009

NOTE 2 - CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS (continued)

Credit Risk

Generally, credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. This is measured by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. Presented below is the minimum rating required by the California Government Code and the ESO's investment policy, and the actual rating as of fiscal year end for each investment type. The investment policy of the ESO contains no limitations on the amount that can be invested in any one issuer beyond that stipulated by the California Government Code. There are no investments in any one issuer (other than the investment pool) that represent 5% or more total Organizational investments.

2010					Rating as of Fi	iscal Year End	<u> </u>
Investment Type	Amount	Minimum Legal Rating	Exempt From Disclosure	AAA	AA	A	Not Rated
San Diego County Investment Pool	\$ 940,827	N/A	\$ -	\$ 940,827	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Total	\$ 940,827		\$ -	\$ 940,827	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
2009		Minimum	Exempt		Rating as of F	iscal Year End	l <u> </u>
Investment Type	Amount	Legal Rating	From Disclosure	AAA	AA	A	Not Rated
San Diego County Investment Pool	\$ 306,200	N/A	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 306,200
Total	\$ 306,200		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 306,200

Notes to Basic Financial Statements

June 30, 2010 and 2009

NOTE 2 - CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS (continued)

Custodial Credit Risk

The custodial credit risk for investments is the risk that, in the event of the failure of the counterparty (e.g., broker-dealer) to a transaction, a government will not be able to recover the value of its investment or collateral securities that are in the possession of another party. The California Government Code and the ESO's investment policy do not contain legal or policy requirements that would limit the exposure to custodial credit risk for investments. With respect to investments, custodial credit risk generally applies only to direct investments in marketable securities. Custodial credit risk does not apply to a local government's indirect investment in securities through the use of mutual funds or government investment pools (such as the San Diego County Investment Pool).


NOTE 3 – CAPITAL ASSETS AND DEPRECIATION

Capital asset activity for the year ended June 30, 2010, consists of the following:

	Ве	eginning]	Ending
	Balance					Balance		
	Ju	ly 1, 2009	A	dditions	Del	etions	Jun	e 30, 2010
Equipment	\$	580,017	\$	-	\$	-	\$	580,017
Total at historical cost		580,017		-		-		580,017
Less accumulated depreciation:								
Equipment		274,742		71,772		-		346,514
Total accumulated depreciation		274,742		71,772		-		346,514
Total capital assets, net	\$	305,275	\$	(71,772)	\$	-	\$	233,503

NOTE 4 – LIABILITIES

Liabilities are debts that will be met by using current appropriations and expenditures of the expendable financial resources. The liabilities are comprised of amounts owed to vendors for services provided. Also included are funds due to the County of San Diego for contracted services.




Budgetary Comparison Schedule – General Fund

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2010

	Budgeted	Amounts	Actual	Variance with Final Budget -
	Original	Final	(Budgetary Basis)	Pos (Neg)
REVENUES				
Governmental grants	\$ 9,788,445	\$ 9,788,445	\$ 4,062,557	\$ (5,725,888)
Civil defense City	252,118	252,118	321,061	68,943
Interest	-	-	387	387
Contributions from County of San Diego	-	-	1,399,662	1,399,662
Other	-	-	5,692	5,692
Total Revenues	10,040,563	10,040,563	5,789,359	(4,251,204)
EXPENDITURES				
Current:				
Contributions to other agencies	7,067,582	7,067,582	2,251,867	4,815,715
Salaries and benefits	2,014,375	2,014,375	2,013,888	487
Services and supplies	2,496,555	2,496,555	1,523,217	973,338
Total expenditures	11,578,512	11,578,512	5,788,972	5,789,540
Excess (deficiency) of revenues				
over (under) expenditures	(1,537,949)	(1,537,949)	387	1,538,336
Fund balances, July 1, 2009	36,784	36,784	36,784	
Fund balances, June 30, 2010	\$ (1,501,165)	\$ (1,501,165)	\$ 37,171	\$ (1,463,994)

UNIFIED SAN DIEGO COUNTY EMERGENCY SERVICES ORGANIZATION Budgetary Comparison Schedule – HAZMAT Response Program Special Revenue Fund For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2010

	Budgeted Amounts		Actual		Variance with Final Budget -		
		Original	 Final	(Bud	getary Basis)	Po	s (Neg)
REVENUES		_	_				
Civil defense City	\$	1,583,786	\$ 1,583,786	\$	1,494,467	\$	(89,319)
Interest		5,000	5,000		9,259		4,259
Total Revenues		1,588,786	1,588,786		1,503,726		(85,060)
EXPENDITURES							
Current:							
Services and supplies		1,593,786	1,593,786		1,503,726		(90,060)
Total expenditures		1,593,786	1,593,786		1,503,726		(90,060)
Excess (deficiency) of revenues							
over (under) expenditures		(5,000)	 (5,000)				(5,000)
Fund balances, July 1, 2009		35,479	35,479		35,479		_
Fund balances, June 30, 2010	\$	30,479	\$ 30,479	\$	35,479	\$	5,000



UNIFIED SAN DIEGO COUNTY EMERGENCY SERVICES ORGANIZATION Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2010

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program or Cluster Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-Through Entity Identifying Number	2009-10 Federal Expenditures	
Federal Programs:				
U.S. Department of Homeland Security/California				
Office of Emergency Services:				
Passed Through County of San Diego				
Emergency Management Performance Grant - FY 09	97.042	2006-8, OES#073-0000	\$	446,897
State Homeland Security Grant - FY 07	97.073	2006-071, OES#073-00000		217,181
State Homeland Security Grant - FY 08, FY 09	97.067	2006-071, OES#073-00000		3,235,456
Law Enforcement Terrorism Prevention Program - FY 07	97.074	2004-045, OES#073-00000		91,926
Passed Through City of San Diego				
Urban Area Security Initiative - FY 07, FY 08	97.008	2006-071		25,507
Metropolitan Medical Response System (direct award)	97.071	2005-015		1,869
Total Expenditures of Federal Awards			\$	4,018,836

Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2010

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Accounting

Funds received under the various federal grant programs have been recorded within the general fund and special revenue fund. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (Schedule) has been prepared accordingly.

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

The accompanying schedule presents the activity of all federal financial assistance programs of the ESO. Federal financial assistance received directly from federal agencies as well as federal financial assistance passed through the State of California, County of San Diego, and City of San Diego are also included in the schedule.

The schedule was prepared from only the accounts of various grant programs and therefore, does not present the financial position or results of operation of the ESO.

NOTE 2 – PROGRAM DESCRIPTIONS

US Department of Homeland Security

Emergency Management Performance Grant

The objective of Emergency Management Performance Grant is to develop comprehensive emergency management, including terrorism consequence management preparedness, at the State and local levels and to improve emergency planning, preparedness, mitigation, response, and recovery capabilities.

State Homeland Security Grant

The objectives of the State Homeland Security Grant are to enhance the capacity of State and local first responders to respond to terrorism incident involving chemical, biological, nuclear, radiological, incendiary, an explosive devices.

Law Enforcement Terrorism Prevention Program (LETPP)


The objectives of the LETPP is to provide state and local law enforcement communities with funds to support the following prevention activities: information sharing to preempt terrorist attacks: target hardening to reduce vulnerability of selected high value targets; recognition and mapping of potential or developing threats; interoperable communications; and interdiction of terrorists before they can execute a threat or intervention activities that prevent terrorists from executing a threat.

Urban Area Security Initiative (UASI)

The objectives of the UASI program are to enhance local emergency, prevention, and response agencies' ability to prepare for and respond to threats or incidents of terrorism involving weapons of mass destruction (WMD). This program will also enhance selected mass transit authorities' protection of critical infrastructure and emergency preparedness activities.

Metropolitan Medical Response System (MMRS)

The purpose of the MMRS program is to provide funding to designated localities to assist in maintaining plans, delivering training, purchasing equipment and pharmaceuticals, and conducting exercises to develop and sustain the capabilities necessary to respond to a mass casualty event, whether caused by a CBRNE terrorist act, epidemic disease outbreak, natural disaster, or HAZMAT accident, during the crucial first hours of a response until significant external assistance can arrive and become operational.



Unified Disaster Council Unified San Diego County Emergency Services Organization San Diego, California

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Independent Auditor's Report

We have audited the financial statements of Unified San Diego County Emergency Services Organization as of and for the year ended June 30, 2010, and have issued our report thereon dated April 1, 2011. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered Unified San Diego County Emergency Services Organization's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of Unified San Diego County Emergency Services Organization's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Unified San Diego County Emergency Services Organization's internal control over financial reporting.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Unified San Diego County Emergency Services Organization's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Unified Disaster Council, management, federal and state awarding agencies, and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than the specified parties.

San Diego, California

Christ Wite Olecandarry Corporation

April 1, 2011

Unified Disaster Council Unified San Diego County Emergency Services Organization San Diego, California

REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS THAT COULD HAVE A DIRECT AND MATERIAL EFFECT ON EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133

Independent Auditor's Report

Compliance

We have audited Unified San Diego County Emergency Services Organization's compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of Unified San Diego County Emergency Services Organization's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2010. Unified San Diego County Emergency Services Organization's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of Unified San Diego County Emergency Services Organization's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on Unified San Diego County Emergency Services Organization's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about Unified San Diego County Emergency Services Organization's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on Unified San Diego County Emergency Services Organization's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, Unified San Diego County Emergency Services Organization complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2010.

Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of Unified San Diego County Emergency Services Organization is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered Unified San Diego County Emergency Services Organization's internal control over compliance with the requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance, and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Unified San Diego County Emergency Services Organization's internal control over compliance.

A *deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis.


Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Unified Disaster Council, management, federal and state awarding agencies, and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than the specified parties.

San Diego, California

Christy Wiste Olecconstancy Corporation

April 1, 2011



UNIFIED SAN DIEGO COUNTY EMERGENCY SERVICES ORGANIZATION Schedule of Audit Findings and Questioned Costs

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2010

Section I - Summary of Auditor's Results

Financial Statements			
Type of auditor's report issu	ed	Uı	nqualified
Internal control over financi	al reporting:		
Material weakness(es) ide	entified?		No
Significant deficiency(ies) identified not considered		
to be material weakness	ses?		No
Noncompliance material to	financial statements noted?		No
Federal Awards			
Internal control over major p	programs:		
Material weakness(es) ide		No	
Significant deficiency(ies			
to be material weakness		No	
Type of auditor's report issu	ed on compliance for	•	
major programs:	Uı	nqualified	
Any audit findings disclosed	d that are required to be reported		
in accordance with Circu	lar A-133, Section .510(a)		No
Identification of major progr	rams:		
CFDA Numbers	Name of Federal Program or Cluster		
97.067, 97.073	State Homeland Security Grant	_	
Dollar threshold used to dis	tinguish between Type A and		
Type B programs:	\$	300,000	
Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?			Yes

UNIFIED SAN DIEGO COUNTY EMERGENCY SERVICES ORGANIZATION Schedule of Audit Findings and Questioned Costs For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2010

Section II – Financial Statement Findings

This section identifies the significant deficiencies, material weaknesses, and instances of noncompliance related to the financial statements that are required to be reported in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*.

There were no audit findings related to the financial statements during 2009-10.

UNIFIED SAN DIEGO COUNTY EMERGENCY SERVICES ORGANIZATION Schedule of Audit Findings and Questioned Costs For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2010

Section III – Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

This section identifies the audit findings required to be reported by Circular A-133, Section .510(a) (e.g., significant deficiencies, material weaknesses, and instances of non-compliance, including questioned costs).

There were no Federal award findings or questioned costs in 2009-10.

UNIFIED SAN DIEGO COUNTY EMERGENCY SERVICES ORGANIZATION Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings and Questioned Costs For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2010

There were no findings or questioned costs in 2008-09.